

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,782	06/11/2003	Paul Silinger	H0002233 US - 4018/H9925-	2472
62993 BUCHALTER	ARMAN AVE.	7	EXAMINER	
18400 VON KARMA			VAN, LUAN V	
SUITE 800 IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/765,782	SILINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Luan V. Van	1753	

Advisory Action	10/100,102	OILINGER ETT	\ <u></u>				
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Luan V. Van	1753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			dress				
The reply filed <u>04 June 2007</u> is acknowledged.							
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will, not be entered because: 							
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).							
 b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2). 							
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.							
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).							
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.					
4. Other:							
The information disclosure statement filed June 4, 2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the information disclosure statement was filed after the filing of an Appeal Brief, and it does not satisfy the required statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).							
NAM NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700							